Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/530,840	DOHERTY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	REBECCA L. ANDERSON	1626	

All Participants:

(1) *REBECCA L. ANDERSON*.

(2) *Sylvia A. Ayler*.

Status of Application: *allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *15 January 2009*

Time: *2:54pm*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 112 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:
23

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative agreed to an Examiner's amendment to delete the trade names from the claims, insert the generic terminology, and amend the trade names in the specification. The supplemental amendment filed 14 January 2009 was in response to the discussion on January 13, 2009 regarding cancelling claims 16-19 and correcting trademarks in claim 23 and the specification

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rebecca L Anderson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)